FINANCE **(1923) Department.**

(MARINE).

MISCELLANEOUS **SERIES.**

G.O. No. 3 8. **32**

DATED the 19th January 1923.

1923

[Despatch Abstract.]

Port of Mangalor

Improvements to the -- estimates for construction of Nor.. and South Walls, for reclamation and for the construction of four wooden barges - Sanctioned.

Mar. C-43 G
15-1-23.

C.F 105-[illegible]03—6-11-21.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
JAN 1923
GOVT. OF MADRAS

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 192

Subject.

Mangalore Port Improvements — Extension of the retaining wall etc — Construction of mud Punt — Requesting Govt. Sanction for —.

ENCLOSURES
No 3 (three) Estimates & 5 plans.
Spare copies .....

No. 2105/24 G. *Madras, dated* 11th January 1923.

From (Name) Captain E.W.Huddleston, CIE, CBE, RIM,

Presidency Port Officer,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

FINANCE (MARINE) Department.

Sir,

(B)

With reference to G.O.No.420 Mis. Finance(Marine) dated 2-10-22, I have the honour to forward herewith an estimate with plans for Rs.15,125/- for the extension of the retaining wall and reclamation north of the pier at Mangalore duly sanctioned by the local Landing and Shipping Committee and scrutinised by the Harbour Engineer to Government and request the favour of approval of Government thereto. The cost will be met from the provision of Rs.30,000/- for "Improvements to Port facilities etc." under Works by the Harbour Engineer in the budget estimate of the Mangalore Landing and Shipping Fund for 1922-23.

Mp 3-b infra

Page 3 Fin. Sec. G.O. 828 Fin. dt. 2-10-22 (1)

2. As

2. As regards the supply of punts, the Committee have approved of an estimate for Rs.16,000/- for constructing four wooden barges and the same is also forwarded herewith for the administrative approval of Government. The Harbour Engineer has scrutinised the same. The expenditure on this account can also be met from the source indicated above. As the expert advice of the Harbour Engineer will not be required in this case, it is presumed that no centage will be charged.

The whole question is in the

3. As the barges are to be completed before the next monsoon, I have instructed the Port Officer to put the work in hand in anticipation of Government sanction. I beg to request that Government will approve of my action in this respect.

4. A proposal for constructing a new wall and reclamation south of the pier has also been approved by the Committee and an estimate for Rs.36,800/- received on that account is also sent herewith duly scrutinised by the Harbour Engineer. As the financial year is far advanced, the full amount of Rs.15,125/- is not expected to be spent on the reclamation wall north of the pier referred to in para 1 above. Approximately half of this is therefore proposed to be utilised for the south reclamation wall during the current year if early orders approving this estimate are passed by Government. I therefore request that Government will be pleased to accord their administrative approval thereto as early as possible.

5. An application for the necessary reappropriation of funds will be submitted to Government on receipt of orders.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Captain R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer.

Finance (Marine) Dept.

C. No. 43 G] From the Presidency Port Officer No. 2105/24 G
dated 11th January 1923.

Subject — Mangalore Port improvements J.

The P. P. O submits for the sanction of Govt. the following :-

(1) an estimate for Rs. 15,125 for the extension of the retaining wall and reclamation north of the pier at Mangalore.

(2) an estimate for Rs. 16,000 for constructing four wooden barges, and

(3) an estimate for Rs. 36,800 for construction a new ~~alk~~ wall and reclamation south of the pier.

All the above three estimates are said to have been approved by the Local Landing and Shipping fees Committee and to have been scrutinized by the Harbour Engineer.

2. Items (1) and (2) were called for by Govt - Vide paras. 1+2 of Go. No. 420 Mar dt 2-10-22. Item (3) ~~was~~ is what was originally ~~approved~~ recommended by the Consulting Engineers Messrs. Sir John Wolfe Barry & Partners and also by the Harbour Engineer - Vide para. 16 on page 14, portion (G) on page 25 and para. 8 on page 31 of Go. No. 420 Mar dt 2-10-22. The three estimates may be sanctioned.

2.

(B)

(B)

SW

3. As the construction of the barges is considered urgent, the P.P.O has asked the Port Offr to put the work in hand ~~xxxxxx~~ in anticipation of Govt. Sanction and requests the sanction of Govt. for his action. This may be accorded.

SW

para 2 ow
pof 2 yer

4. Fund - The P.P.O proposes to meet the cost of the works in the current year from the provision of Rs. 30000 in the budget estimates for 1922-3 of the ~~Mangalore~~ Mangalore Landing & Shipping fund for 'Improvements to port facilities &c'. He thinks that the whole cost for the estimates for (1) & (3) will not be worked up in the current year. The reappropriation statement which he intends submitting to Govt. later on (Vide para. 5 on page 2 of Ct.) will show what amount will be allotted by him for those estimates for the current year.

Page 3 of C.O.
No 828 Fin
Dy 3-10-22
(c)

5. A draft order is submitted for approval.

SW 17.1.23 SW 18.1.23

SW
19.1.23
S

I cant very clearly see how the 3 works can be fully financed. However I see from the Budget estimate that there is a considerable closing balance which much of it is in Govt. securities

Joseph Fernandes (Budget)

All the money won't be wanted this year & we can amend the appropriation statements

Isaac Asofi.

E.D. 19/1/23

Scott. II-10 (a)—48,962—2-2-22. (6)

6

Finance (Mar) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied	24/12/22	
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched		

Port of Mangalore — Improvements to the — estimates for ~~works~~ construction of North & South walls, for reclamation & for the construction of four wooden barges — Sanctioned.

I

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 420 Mar, dated 2nd October 1922.

" " " " " " 192 .

READ ALSO—

II

C. No. 439 From the Presidency Port Officer, No. 2165/249 dated 11 Jan 7 1923.

" " " " " " 192

" " " " " " 192

ORDER.

No. 32, dated 19 Jan 7 1923

Mis

The Govt. sanction the following estimates submitted by the Presidency Port officer in connection with the improvements to the port of Mangalore :—

(1) an estimate for Rs. 15,125 for the extension of the retaining wall and reclamation north of the pier

1 C f.

(2) an estimate for Rs. 16000 for constructing four wooden barges

2 C f

and (3) an estimate for Rs. 36,800 for constructing a new wall and reclamation South of

the pier.

2. The Govt. approve the action of the P.P.O in instructing the Port officer, Mangalore, to put the work of constructing the four wooden barges in hand in anticipation of Govt's sanction.

3. The reappropriation statement promised by the P.P.O in para-5 of his letter will be awaited.

para. 3.
C.f

para. 2 C.

SW
19.1.23

17.1.23 + 8.1.23

EN 19/1/23

Read papers with endt. dtd 23/1

Do

The P.P.O. (with estimates returned)
Plans, transcript, pattas, KDP copy 9 vols
.. Accl-Genl-Gy.
(Gy I, Gy II &c)
.. Harbour Engineer
(Do & Do.)
Copy to the Finance Dept
(Do Do)